arXiv:1409.5517v1 [math.AP] 19 Sep 2014

RESEARCH

On the regularization of solution of an inverse ultraparabolic equation associated with perturbed final data

Vo Anh Khoa[1*], Le Trong Lan[1], Nguyen Huy Tuan[1,2] and Tran The Hung[1]

*Correspondence:
vakhoa.hcmus@gmail.com
[1]Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Ho Chi Minh City University of Science, 227 Nguyen Van Cu Street, District 5, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we study the inverse problem for a class of abstract ultraparabolic equations which is well-known to be ill-posed. We employ some elementary results of semi-group theory to present the formula of solution, then show the instability cause. Since the solution exhibits unstable dependence on the given data functions, we propose a new regularization method to stabilize the solution. then obtain the error estimate. A numerical example shows that the method is efficient and feasible. This work slightly extends to the earlier results in Zouyed et al. [9] (2014).

Keywords: ultraparabolic equation; ill-posed problem; semi-group method; stability; error estimate

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A52; 20M17; 26D15

1 Introduction

Let us denote $\|\cdot\|$ the norm and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ the inner product in $L^2(0,\pi)$, i.e.,

$$\langle u,v\rangle=\int_0^\pi uvdx,\quad \|u\|=\sqrt{\int_0^\pi |u|^2\,dx}.$$

In this paper, we consider the following problem: determine a function $u:[0,T]\times[0,T]\to L^2(0,\pi)$ solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t+u_s-\Delta u=f(x,t,s), & (x,t,s)\in[0,\pi]\times[0,T]\times[0,T],\\ u(0,t,s)=u(\pi,t,s)=0, & (t,s)\in[0,T]\times[0,T],\\ u(x,T,s)=\psi(x,s), & (x,s)\in[0,\pi]\times[0,T],\\ u(x,t,T)=\varphi(x,t), & (x,t)\in[0,\pi]\times[0,T], \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

with corresponding perturbed data functions $(\psi^\varepsilon,\varphi^\varepsilon)$ satisfying

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon-\psi\|\le\varepsilon,\quad \|\varphi^\varepsilon-\varphi\|\le\varepsilon,$$

where ψ^ε and φ^ε play roles as perturbed functions and $\varepsilon > 0$ represents a bound between the exact function (φ, ψ) and the perturbed $(\varphi^\varepsilon, \psi^\varepsilon)$ over $L^2(0, \pi)$ and the given function f is called the source function.

Ultraparabolic equations arise in several areas of science, such as mathematical biology in population dynamics [13] and probability in connection with multiparameter Brownian motion [17], and in the theory of boundary layers [12]. Due to their applications, ultraparabolic equations have gained considerable attention in many mathematical aspects (see, e.g., [2, 4, 5, 9, 11, 13] and the references therein).

In the mathematical literature, various types of ultraparabolic problems have been solved. There have been some papers dealing with the existence and uniqueness of solutions for ultraparabolic equations, e.g. [13, 19, 22]. As the pioneer in numerical methods for such equations, Akrivis et al. [4] numerically approximated the solution of a prototype ultraparabolic equation by applying a fixed-step backward Euler scheme and second-order box-type finite difference method. Some extension works for the numerical angle should be mentioned are [21, 23] by A. Ashyralyev-S. Yilmaz and Michael D. Marcozzi, respectively. We also remark that, in general, ultraparabolic equations do not possess properties that are closely fundamental to many kinds of parabolic equations including strong maximum principles, a priori estimates, and so on.

In the phase of ultraparabolic ill-posed problems, the authors F. Zouyed and F. Rebbani, very recently, proposed in [9] the modified quasi-boundary value method to regularize the solution of the problem (1) in homogeneous backward case $f \equiv 0$. In particular, via the instability terms in the form of the solution of (1) (cf. [2, Theorem 1.1]) they established an approximate problem by replacing $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \mathcal{A}\left(I + \alpha\mathcal{A}^{-1}\right)$ for the operator $\mathcal{A}$ and taking the perturbation α into final conditions of the ill-posed problem, and obtained the convergence order $\alpha^\theta, \theta \in (0, 1)$. Motivated by that work, this paper is devoted to investigate a new regularization method.

In the past, many approaches have been studied for solving ill-posed problems, especially the backward heat problems. For example, Lattès and Lions [18], Showalter [24] and Boussetila and Rebbani [26] used quasi-reversibility method; in [22] Ames et al. applied the least squares method with Tikhonov-type regularization; Clark and Oppenheimer [15], Denche and Bessila [14] and Trong et al. [29] used quasi-boundary value method. Moreover, some other methods should be listed are the mollification method by Hao [32] and the operator-splitting method studied by Kirkup and Wadsworth [27]. To the best of the author's knowledge, although there are many works on several types of parabolic backward problems, the theoretical literature on regularizing the inverse problems for ultraparabolic equations is very scarce. Therefore, proposing a regularization method for the problem (1) is the scope of this paper.

Our work presented in this paper has the following features. Firstly, for ease of the reading, we summarize in Section 2 some well-known facts in semi-group of operator and present the formula of the solution of (1). Secondly, in Section 3 we construct the regularized solution based on our method, then obtain the error estimate. Finally, a numerical example is given in Section 4 to illustrate the efficiency of the result.

2 Preliminaries

The operator $-\Delta$ is a positive self-adjoint unbounded linear operator on $L^2(0,\pi)$. Therefore, it can be applied to some elementary results in [2, 6, 7, 9]. Particularly, the formula of the solution of the problem (1) can be obtained by L. Lorenzi et al. [2] and the authors in [6, 7] gave a detailed description on fundamental properties of the generalized operator. In this section, we thus recall those results in which we want to apply to our main results in this paper. We list them and skip their proofs for conciseness.

In fact, we shall study in this section the generalized formula of the solution by the following operator equation in terms of semi-group theory.

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_s + \mathcal{A}u = f(t,s), & (t,s) \in [0,T] \times [0,T], \\ u(T,s) = \psi(s), & s \in [0,T], \\ u(t,T) = \varphi(t), & t \in [0,T], \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is a positive self-adjoint unbounded linear operator on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

We denote by $\{E_\lambda, \lambda > 0\}$ the spectral resolution of the identify associated to $\mathcal{A}$. Let us denote

$$S(t) = e^{-t\mathcal{A}} = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} dE_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \quad t \geq 0,$$

the C_0-semigroup of contractions generated by $-\mathcal{A}$ ($\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ stands for the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$). Then

$$\mathcal{A}u = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE_\lambda u, \tag{3}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$. In this connection, $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ iff the integral (3) exists, i.e.,

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^2 d\|E_\lambda u\|^2 < \infty.$$

For this family of operators $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ we have:

1. $\|S(t)\| \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$;
2. the function $t \mapsto S(t), t > 0$ is analytic;
3. for every real $r \geq 0$ and $t > 0$, the operator $S(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r))$;
4. for every integer $k \geq 0$ and $t > 0$, $\left\|S^k(t)\right\| = \left\|\mathcal{A}^k S(t)\right\| \leq c(k)\, t^{-k}$;
5. for every $x \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^r), r \geq 0$, we have $S(t)\mathcal{A}^r x = \mathcal{A}^r S(t) x$.

Remark 1 In the sequel, let us denote

$$D_1 = \{(t,s) \in [0,T] \times [0,T] : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\};$$

$$D_2 = \{(t,s) \in [0,T] \times [0,T] : 0 \le t \le s \le T\},$$

and make some conditions on the given functions as follows:
(A1) $\varphi \in C([0,T]; \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})) \cap C^1([0,T]; \mathcal{H})$;
(A2) $\psi \in C([0,T]; \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})) \cap C^1([0,T]; \mathcal{H})$;
(A3) $\varphi(0) = \psi(0)$;
(A4) $f \in C([0,T] \times [0,T]; \mathcal{H}) \cap C^1(D_1 \times D_2; \mathcal{H})$.

In the following theorems, we show the formula of solution of the problem (2) by employing Theorem 1.1 in [2] with $a_1(t) = a_2(s) = 1$ and following the steps in [9].

Theorem 2 *Under the conditions (A1)-(A4), the problem*

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_s + \mathcal{A}u = f(t,s), & (t,s) \in [0,T] \times [0,T], \\ u(0,s) = \psi(s), & s \in [0,T], \\ u(t,0) = \varphi(t), & t \in [0,T], \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

admits a unique solution u presented by the following formula. For any $(t,s) \in D_1$,

$$u(t,s) = S(s)\varphi(t-s) + \int_0^s S(s-\eta) f(t-s+\eta, \eta)\, d\eta,$$

and for any $(t,s) \in D_2$,

$$u(t,s) = S(t)\psi(s-t) + \int_0^t S(t-\eta) f(\eta, s-t+\eta)\, d\eta.$$

Moreover, the solution u belongs to the space $C([0,T] \times [0,S]; \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})) \cap C^1([0,T] \times [0,S]; \mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 3 *Under the conditions (A1)-(A4), if the problem*

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_s - \mathcal{A}u = f(t,s), & (t,s) \in [0,T] \times [0,T], \\ u(0,s) = \psi(s), & s \in [0,T], \\ u(t,0) = \varphi(t), & t \in [0,T], \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

admits a solution u, then this solution can be presented by

$$u(t,s) = \begin{cases} S^{-1}(t)\psi(s-t) + \int_{s-t}^s S(\eta - s) f(t-s+\eta, \eta)\, d\eta, & (t,s) \in D_2, \\ S^{-1}(s)\varphi(t-s) + \int_{t-s}^t S(\eta - t) f(\eta, \eta+s-t)\, d\eta, & (t,s) \in D_1. \end{cases}$$

Proof We put $\tau = T - t, \xi = T - s$ and write

$$u(t,s) = u(T-\tau, T-\xi) := v(\tau,\xi),$$

the function $v(\tau,\xi) : [0,T] \times [0,T] \to \mathcal{H}$ satisfies the problem (4), namely,

$$\begin{cases} v_\tau + v_\xi + \mathcal{A}v = F(\tau,\xi) \equiv -f(T-\tau, T-\xi), & (\tau,\xi) \in [0,T] \times [0,T], \\ v(0,\xi) = \psi_1(\xi) \equiv u(T, T-\xi), & \xi \in [0,T], \\ v(\tau,0) = \varphi_1(\tau) \equiv u(T-\tau, T), & \tau \in [0,T]. \end{cases}$$

Thanks to Theorem 2, $v(\tau,\xi)$ is given by

$$v(\tau,\xi) = \begin{cases} S(\xi)\varphi_1(\tau-\xi) + \int_0^\xi S(\xi-\eta) F(\tau-\xi+\eta,\eta)\, d\eta, & (\tau,\xi) \in D_1, \\ S(\tau)\psi_1(\xi-\tau) + \int_0^\tau S(\tau-\eta) F(\eta, \xi-\tau+\eta)\, d\eta, & (\tau,\xi) \in D_2. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$u(t,s) = \begin{cases} S(T-s)u(T+t-s,T) - \int_0^{T-s} S(T-s-\eta) f(T+t-s-\eta, T-\eta)\, d\eta, & (t,s) \in D_2, \\ S(T-t)u(T, T+s-t) - \int_0^{T-t} S(T-t-\eta) f(T-\eta, T+s-t-\eta)\, d\eta, & (t,s) \in D_1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$u(t,s) = \begin{cases} S(T-s)u(T+t-s,T) - \int_s^T S(\zeta-s) f(t-s+\zeta,\zeta)\, d\zeta, & (t,s) \in D_2, \\ S(T-t)u(T, T+s-t) - \int_t^T S(\zeta-t) f(\zeta, \zeta+s-t)\, d\zeta, & (t,s) \in D_1, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

by the maps $\zeta = T - \eta$ in the integrals. We can see by the initial conditions of (5) that

$$u(t,0) = \varphi(t) = S(T-t)u(T,T-t) - \int_t^T S(\zeta-t) f(\zeta,\zeta-t)\, d\zeta,$$

$$u(0,s) = \psi(s) = S(T-s)u(T-s,T) - \int_s^T S(\zeta-s) f(\zeta-s,\zeta)\, d\zeta,$$

which leads to

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(t-s) = S(T-t+s)\,u(T,T-t+s) - \int_{t-s}^{T} S(\zeta-t+s)\,f(\zeta,\zeta-t+s)\,d\zeta, & (t,s)\in D_1, \\ \psi(s-t) = S(T-s+t)\,u(T-s+t,T) - \int_{s-t}^{T} S(\zeta-s+t)\,f(\zeta-s+t,\zeta)\,d\zeta, & (t,s)\in D_2. \end{cases}$$

By virtual of semi-group properties, we get

$$\begin{cases} S^{-1}(s)\,\varphi(t-s) = S(T-t)\,u(T,T-t+s) - \int_{t-s}^{T} S(\zeta-t)\,f(\zeta,\zeta-t+s)\,d\zeta, & (t,s)\in D_1, \\ S^{-1}(t)\,\psi(s-t) = S(T-s)\,u(T-s+t,T) - \int_{s-t}^{T} S(\zeta-s)\,f(\zeta-s+t,\zeta)\,d\zeta, & (t,s)\in D_2. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Substituting (7) into (6), we thus have

$$u(t,s) = \begin{cases} S^{-1}(t)\,\psi(s-t) + \int_{s-t}^{s} S(\zeta-s)\,f(t-s+\zeta,\zeta)\,d\zeta, & (t,s)\in D_2, \\ S^{-1}(s)\,\varphi(t-s) + \int_{t-s}^{t} S(\zeta-t)\,f(\zeta,\zeta+s-t)\,d\zeta, & (t,s)\in D_1. \end{cases}$$

□

Theorem 4 *Under the conditions (A1), (A2) and (A4), if the problem (2) with* $\varphi(T) = \psi(T)$ *admits a solution* u*, then this solution can be given by*

$$u(t,s) = \begin{cases} S^{-1}(T-t)\,\psi(T+s-t) + \int_{t}^{T} S(\eta-T)\,f(\eta-t,\eta-s)\,d\eta, & (t,s)\in D_1, \\ S^{-1}(T-s)\,\varphi(T+t-s) + \int_{s}^{T} S(\eta-T)\,f(\eta-t,\eta-s)\,d\eta, & (t,s)\in D_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof Now we put $\tau = T-t$ and $\xi = T-s$, then write

$$u(t,s) = u(T-\tau,T-\xi) := v(\tau,\xi),$$

the function $v(\tau,\xi) : [0,T]\times[0,T] \to \mathcal{H}$ satisfies the problem (5), namely,

$$\begin{cases} v_\tau + v_\xi - \mathcal{A}v = F(\tau,\xi) \equiv -f(T-\tau,T-\xi), & (\tau,\xi)\in[0,T]\times[0,T], \\ v(0,\xi) = \psi_1(\xi) \equiv u(T,T-\xi), & \xi\in[0,T], \\ v(\tau,0) = \varphi_1(\tau) \equiv u(T-\tau,T), & \tau\in[0,T]. \end{cases}$$

Using Theorem 3, the solution $v(\tau,\xi)$ can be presented by

$$v(\tau,\xi) = \begin{cases} S^{-1}(\tau)\,\psi_1(\xi-\tau) + \int_{\xi-\tau}^{\xi} S(\eta-\xi)\,F(\tau-\xi+\eta,\eta)\,d\eta, & (\tau,\xi)\in D_2, \\ S^{-1}(\xi)\,\varphi_1(\tau-\xi) + \int_{\tau-\xi}^{\tau} S(\eta-\tau)\,F(\eta,\eta+\xi-\tau)\,d\eta, & (\tau,\xi)\in D_1. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$u(T-\tau,T-\xi)=\begin{cases}S^{-1}(\tau)\,u(T,T-\xi+\tau)-\int_{\xi-\tau}^{\xi}S(\eta-\xi)\,f(T-\tau+\xi-\eta,T-\eta)\,d\eta, & (\tau,\xi)\in D_2,\\ S^{-1}(\xi)\,u(T-\tau+\xi,T)-\int_{\tau-\xi}^{\tau}S(\eta-\tau)\,f(T-\eta,T-\eta-\xi+\tau)\,d\eta, & (\tau,\xi)\in D_1.\end{cases}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}u(t,s) &= \begin{cases}S^{-1}(T-t)\,\psi(T+s-t)-\int_{t-s}^{T-s}S(\eta-T+s)\,f(T+t-s-\eta,T-\eta)\,d\eta, & (t,s)\in D_1,\\ S^{-1}(T-s)\,\varphi(T+t-s)-\int_{s-t}^{T-t}S(\eta-T+t)\,f(T-\eta,T+s-t-\eta)\,d\eta, & (t,s)\in D_2,\end{cases}\\ &= \begin{cases}S^{-1}(T-t)\,\psi(T+s-t)-\int_{t}^{T}S(\zeta-T)\,f(T+t-\zeta,T+s-\zeta)\,d\zeta, & (t,s)\in D_1,\\ S^{-1}(T-s)\,\varphi(T+t-s)-\int_{s}^{T}S(\zeta-T)\,f(T+t-\zeta,T+s-\zeta)\,d\zeta, & (t,s)\in D_2,\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Now we return to the consideration of problem (1). All of our results in this paper apply to more general problems, for which the boundary conditions are generalized in Robin-type, for example,

$$\alpha_1 u(0,t,s)+\alpha_2 u_x(0,t,s)=0,$$

$$\alpha_3 u(\pi,t,s)+\alpha_4 u_x(\pi,t,s)=0,$$

or we can consider, in general, the operator equations with the self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{A}$ having a discrete spectrum on an abstract Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and satisfying the condition that $-\mathcal{A}$ generates a compact contraction semi-group on $\mathcal{H}$, like the problem (2) considered above. However, for the sake of simplicity, we confine our attention to the problem (1) in which the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions at the endpoints of $[0,\pi]$ are given. In this problem, we have $\mathcal{H}=L^2(0,\pi)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})=H_0^1(0,\pi)\cap H^2(0,\pi)$, so there exists an orthonormal basis of $L^2(0,\pi)$, $\{\phi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying (see e.g. [33, p. 181])

$$\phi_n\in H_0^1(0,\pi)\cap C^{\infty}([0,\pi]),\quad \Delta\phi_n=-\lambda_n\phi_n,\quad 0<\lambda_1\le\lambda_2\le\ldots\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda_n=\infty.$$

The Laplace operator thus has a discrete spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{A})=\{\lambda_n\}_{n\ge1}$ with $\lambda_n=n^2$ and gives the orthonormal eigenbasis $\phi_n=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sin(nx)$ for $n\in\mathbb{N},n\ge1$. Then, thanks to those theorems above, the solution has the form

$$u(x,t,s)=\begin{cases}\sum_{n\ge1}\left(e^{(T-t)n^2}\psi_n(T+s-t)-\int_t^T e^{(T-\eta)n^2}f_n(T+t-\eta,T+s-\eta)\,d\eta\right)\sin(nx), & (t,s)\in D_1,\\ \sum_{n\ge1}\left(e^{(T-s)n^2}\varphi_n(T+t-s)-\int_s^T e^{(T-\eta)n^2}f_n(T+t-\eta,T+s-\eta)\,d\eta\right)\sin(nx), & (t,s)\in D_2,\end{cases}$$

(8)

where

$$\varphi_n(t) = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi} \varphi(x,t)\sin(nx)\,dx, \quad \psi_n(s) = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi} \psi(x,s)\sin(nx)\,dx, \quad f_n(t,s) = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi} f(x,t,s)\sin(nx)\,dx.$$

We can see that the instability is caused by all of the exponential functions. In fact, let us see the case $(t,s) \in D_1$ in (8). Since the discrete spectrum increases monotonically as n tends to infinity, the rapid escalation of $e^{(T-t)n^2}$ and $e^{(T-\eta)n^2}$ is mainly the instability cause. Even though these exact given functions (ψ_n, f_n) may tend to zero very fast, performing classical calculation is impossible. It is because that the given data may be diffused by a variety of reasons such as round-off errors, measurement errors. A small perturbation in the data can arbitrarily generate a large error in the solution. A regularization method is thus required.

3 Theoretical results

In this section, assuming that the problem has an exact solution u satisfying various corresponding assumptions, we construct the regularized solution depending continuously on the data such that converges to the exact solution u in some sense. Moreover, the accuracy of regularized solution is estimated.

The solution of (1) can be given by

$$u(x,t,s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n\geq 1} e^{(T-t)n^2}\left(\psi_n(T+s-t) - \int_t^T e^{(t-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta, T+s-\eta)\,d\eta\right)\sin(nx), & (t,s)\in D_1, \\ \sum_{n\geq 1} e^{(T-s)n^2}\left(\varphi_n(T+t-s) - \int_s^T e^{(s-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta, T+s-\eta)\,d\eta\right)\sin(nx), & (t,s)\in D_2. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

We shall replace all instability terms by the better ones, particularly, $\left(\varepsilon + e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}}$ and $\left(\varepsilon + e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{s-T}{p}}$ where $p \geq 1$ is a real number. Then, the regularized solution corresponding to the exact data is

$$u^{\varepsilon}(x,t,s) = \sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\varepsilon + e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}}\left(\psi_n(T+s-t) - \int_t^T e^{(t-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta, T+s-\eta)\,d\eta\right)\sin(nx), \tag{10}$$

for any $(t,s) \in D_1$, and

$$u^{\varepsilon}(x,t,s) = \sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\varepsilon + e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{s-T}{p}}\left(\varphi_n(T+t-s) - \int_s^T e^{(s-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta, T+s-\eta)\,d\eta\right)\sin(nx), \tag{11}$$

for any $(t,s) \in D_2$.

We also denote the regularized solution corresponding to the perturbed data by

$$v^{\varepsilon}(x,t,s) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \left(\varepsilon + e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}} \left(\psi_n^{\varepsilon}(T+s-t) - \int_t^T e^{(t-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta, T+s-\eta)\, d\eta\right) \sin(nx), \tag{12}$$

for any $(t,s) \in D_1$, and

$$v^{\varepsilon}(x,t,s) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \left(\varepsilon + e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{s-T}{p}} \left(\varphi_n^{\varepsilon}(T+t-s) - \int_s^T e^{(s-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta, T+s-\eta)\, d\eta\right) \sin(nx), \tag{13}$$

for any $(t,s) \in D_2$.

Now we shall show two elementary inequalities in the following lemmas.

Lemma 5 *For $0 \leq t \leq T \leq p$, we have*

$$\left(\varepsilon + e^{-n^2 p}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{t-T}{p}}.$$

Proof It is obvious that $\left(\varepsilon + e^{-n^2 p}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{t-T}{p}}$ since $\varepsilon + e^{-n^2 p} \geq \varepsilon$. □

Lemma 6 *For all $x > 0$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ we have*

$$1 - (x+1)^{-\alpha} \leq x^{1-\alpha}.$$

Proof The proof of this lemma is based on the fact that $x^{\alpha} < (x+1)^{\alpha}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + x &\leq 1 + x^{1-\alpha}(x+1)^{\alpha} \\ &\leq \left[1 + x^{1-\alpha}(x+1)^{\alpha}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - (x+1)^{-\alpha} &= \frac{(x+1)^{\alpha} - 1}{(x+1)^{\alpha}} \\ &\leq \frac{1 + x^{1-\alpha}(x+1)^{\alpha} - 1}{(x+1)^{\alpha}} \\ &\leq x^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the sequel, we only prove the case $(t,s) \in D_1$ in our main result because of the similarity. The results are about the regularized solution depending continuously on the corresponding data and the convergence of that solution to the exact solution. Now we shall use two elementary lemmas above to support the proof of the main results.

Lemma 7 *Under the conditions (A1), (A2), (A4) and assume that* $\varphi(T) = \psi(T)$, *then the function* u^ε *given by (10)-(11) depends continuously on* (φ, ψ) *in* $L^2(0,\pi)$.

Proof Let u_1^ε and u_2^ε be two solutions of (10)-(11) corresponding to the data $\left(\varphi^1, \psi^1\right)$ and $\left(\varphi^2, \psi^2\right)$, respectively. By using Parseval relation, for $(t,s) \in D_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|u_1^\varepsilon(\cdot,t,s) - u_2^\varepsilon(\cdot,t,s)\right\|^2 &= \frac{\pi}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\varepsilon + e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{2(t-T)}{p}}\left(\psi_n^1(T+s-t) - \psi_n^2(T+s-t)\right)^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{\frac{2(t-T)}{p}}\left\|\psi^1(T+s-t) - \psi^2(T+s-t)\right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any $(t,s) \in D_2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|u_1^\varepsilon(\cdot,t,s) - u_2^\varepsilon(\cdot,t,s)\right\|^2 &= \frac{\pi}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\varepsilon + e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{2(s-T)}{p}}\left(\varphi_n^1(T+t-s) - \varphi_n^2(T+t-s)\right)^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{\frac{2(s-T)}{p}}\left\|\varphi^1(T+t-s) - \varphi^2(T+t-s)\right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 8 *Under the conditions (A1), (A2) and (A4), if the problem (1) with* $\varphi(T) = \psi(T)$ *admits a unique solution* u *satisfying*

$$\frac{\pi}{2}\sup_{(t,s)\in D_1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2(p+t-T)n^2}\left|u_n(t,s)\right|^2 < C_1, \tag{14}$$

and

$$\frac{\pi}{2}\sup_{(t,s)\in D_2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2(p+s-T)n^2}\left|u_n(t,s)\right|^2 < C_2, \tag{15}$$

where $u_n(t,s) = \int_0^\pi u(x,t,s)\sin(nx)\,dx$, *let* $(\varphi^\varepsilon, \psi^\varepsilon)$ *be perturbed functions satisfying the conditions (A1)-(A2), respectively, and let* v^ε *be the regularized solution, given by (12)-(13), corresponding to the perturbed data* $(\varphi^\varepsilon, \psi^\varepsilon)$, *then for* $(t,s) \in D_1$ *we have*

$$\|v^{\epsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u(\cdot,t,s)\| \le \left(1+\sqrt{C_1}\right)\epsilon^{\frac{t-T+p}{p}},$$

and for $(t,s)\in D_2$,

$$\|v^{\epsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u(\cdot,t,s)\| \le \left(1+\sqrt{C_2}\right)\epsilon^{\frac{s-T+p}{p}}.$$

Proof For any $(t,s)\in D_1$, we have

$$u(x,t)=\sum_{n\ge 1} e^{(T-t)n^2}\left(\psi_n(T+s-t)-\int_t^T e^{(t-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta,T+s-\eta)\,d\eta\right)\sin(nx),$$

$$u^{\varepsilon}(x,t,s)=\sum_{n\ge 1}\left(\varepsilon+e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}}\left(\psi_n(T+s-t)-\int_t^T e^{(t-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta,T+s-\eta)\,d\eta\right)\sin(nx),$$

$$v^{\varepsilon}(x,t,s)=\sum_{n\ge 1}\left(\varepsilon+e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}}\left(\psi_n^{\varepsilon}(T+s-t)-\int_t^T e^{(t-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta,T+s-\eta)\,d\eta\right)\sin(nx).$$

Using triangle inequality, in order to get the error estimate, we have to estimate $\|v^{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t,s)\|$ and $\|u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u(\cdot,t,s)\|$. Indeed, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|v^{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t,s)\|^2 &= \frac{\pi}{2}\sum_{n\ge 1}\left(\varepsilon+e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{2(t-T)}{p}}\left(\psi_n^{\varepsilon}(T+s-t)-\psi_n(T+s-t)\right)^2\\ &\le \varepsilon^{\frac{2(t-T)}{p}}\|\psi^{\varepsilon}(T+s-t)-\psi(T+s-t)\|^2\\ &\le \varepsilon^{\frac{2(t-T+p)}{p}}. \qquad (16)\end{aligned}$$

Next, $\|u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u(\cdot,t,s)\|$ can be estimated as follows. We put

$$u_n(t,s)=e^{(T-t)n^2}\psi_n(T+s-t)-\int_t^T e^{(T-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta,T+s-\eta)\,d\eta,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(1+\varepsilon e^{n^2p}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}} u_n(t,s) &= \left(\left(1+\varepsilon e^{n^2p}\right)e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}} \psi_n(T+s-t)\\ &\quad -\int_t^T \left(1+\varepsilon e^{n^2p}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}} e^{(T-t)n^2} e^{(t-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta, T+s-\eta)\, d\eta\\ &= \left(\varepsilon+e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}} \psi_n(T+s-t)\\ &\quad -\int_t^T \left(\varepsilon+e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}} e^{(t-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta, T+s-\eta)\, d\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\left(\varepsilon+e^{-pn^2}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}} \left(\psi_n(T+s-t)-\int_t^T e^{(t-\eta)n^2} f_n(T+t-\eta, T+s-\eta)\, d\eta\right) \equiv u_n^{\varepsilon}(t,s) = \left(1+\varepsilon e^{n^2p}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}} u_n(t,s)$$

Now using Parseval relation again, we thus obtain

$$\|u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u(\cdot,t,s)\|^2 = \frac{\pi}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1}|u_n^{\varepsilon}(t,s)-u_n(t,s)|^2 = \frac{\pi}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(1-\left(1+\varepsilon e^{n^2p}\right)^{\frac{t-T}{p}}\right)^2 |u_n(t,s)|^2.$$

Thanks to Lemma 6 and the assumption (14), we have

$$\|u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u(\cdot,t,s)\|^2 \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\varepsilon e^{n^2p}\right)^{2-\frac{2(T-t)}{p}} |u_n(t,s)|^2 \leq \varepsilon^{2\left(1+\frac{t-T}{p}\right)} C_1. \quad (17)$$

Combining (16)-(17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|v^{\epsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u(\cdot,t,s)\| &\leq \|v^{\epsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t,s)\| + \|u^{\epsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u(\cdot,t,s)\|\\ &\leq \varepsilon^{\frac{t-T+p}{p}} + \varepsilon^{1+\frac{t-T}{p}}\sqrt{C_1} \leq \left(1+\sqrt{C_1}\right)\varepsilon^{\frac{t-T+p}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain the error estimate

$$\|v^{\epsilon}(\cdot,t,s)-u(\cdot,t,s)\| \leq \left(1+\sqrt{C_2}\right)\varepsilon^{\frac{s-T+p}{p}},$$

for the case $(t,s)\in D_2$ with the assumption (15).
Hence, we complete the proof. □

Remark 9 From Theorem 8, we can see that $v^{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t,s)$ strongly converges to $u(\cdot,t,s)$ in $L^2(0,\pi)$ for any $(t,s)\in[0,T]\times[0,T]$ as ε tends to zero. One advantage of this method is that the endpoints of time $[0,T]\times[0,T]$, for example, $(t,s)=(0,0)$ and $(t,s)=(T,T)$ nearly have the same rate of convergence in some

cases. Indeed, the convergence speed at $(t,s)=(0,0)$ is $\varepsilon^{\frac{p-T}{p}}$ and it is of order ε for $(t,s)=(T,T)$. Then, if p is very large for any fixed $T>0$, the order $\varepsilon^{\frac{p-T}{p}}$ may approach ε. This creates the globally stability behavior of the error in numerical sense. On the other hand, the natural acceptance of (14)-(15) can be obtained at $(t,s)=(0,0)$. Namely, by letting $p=T$ the conditions become

$$\frac{\pi}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|u_n\left(0,0\right)\right|^2=\left\|u\left(\cdot,0,0\right)\right\|^2.$$

Moreover, the error is of order $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{p-T}{p}}\right)$ for all $(t,s)\in[0,T]\times[0,T]$. If $p>T$, this error is faster than the order $\ln\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)^{-q}, q>0$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$ which is studied in many works, such as [6, 14, 15, 29]. Combining the strong points above, the reader can infer that our method is feasible.

4 A numerical example

In order to see how well the method works, we consider as an example the problem (1) by choosing

$$f\left(x,t,s\right)=-2e^{-2t-s}\sin x,\quad \psi\left(x,s\right)=e^{-2-s}\sin x,\quad \varphi\left(x,t\right)=e^{-2t-1}\sin x,$$

and the domain $[0,\pi]\times[0,1]^2$. For these given functions, the problem has a unique solution

$$u_{ex}\left(x,t,s\right)=e^{-2t-s}\sin x. \tag{18}$$

Now let us take perturbation on data functions as follows. For $m\in\mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\varphi_m\left(x,t\right)=e^{-2t-1}\sin x+\frac{\sin\left(mx\right)}{m},$$

$$\psi_m\left(x,s\right)=e^{-s-2}\sin x+\frac{\sin\left(mx\right)}{m}.$$

Thus, the solution corresponding to the perturbed data functions is

$$\begin{aligned}u_m\left(x,t,s\right) &= \begin{cases} e^{-s-2}\sin x+\frac{e^{(1-t)m^2}}{m}\sin\left(mx\right) \\ +2\int_t^1 e^{1-\eta}e^{-2(1+t-\eta)-(1+s-\eta)}\sin x d\eta, & (t,s)\in D_1, \\ e^{-2-2t+s}\sin x+\frac{e^{(1-s)m^2}}{m}\sin\left(mx\right) \\ +2\int_s^1 e^{1-\eta}e^{-2(1+t-\eta)-(1+s-\eta)}\sin x d\eta, & (t,s)\in D_2. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} e^{-s-2}\sin x+\frac{e^{(1-t)m^2}}{m}\sin\left(mx\right)+e^{-2t-s-2}\left(e^2-e^{2t}\right)\sin x, & (t,s)\in D_1, \\ e^{-2-2t+s}\sin x+\frac{e^{(1-s)m^2}}{m}\sin\left(mx\right)+e^{-2t-s-2}\left(e^2-e^{2s}\right)\sin x, & (t,s)\in D_2. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$



Figure 1: The exact solution u_{ex} and the approximation solution within perturbations u_m.

It is easy to see that (φ_m, ψ_m) converges to (φ, ψ) over the norm $L^2(0,\pi)$ as $m \to \infty$. To observe the ill-posedness, we can compute, for example, $u_{ex}\left(x, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = e^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sin x$ and

$$u_m\left(x, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = e^{\frac{-3}{2}} \sin x + \frac{e^{\frac{m^2}{2}}}{m} \sin(mx).$$

Therefore, we get

$$\left\| u_m\left(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) - u\left(\cdot, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right\|^2 = \int_0^\pi \frac{e^{m^2}}{m^2} \sin^2(mx)\, dx = \frac{\pi e^{m^2}}{2m^2} \to \infty,$$

as $m \to \infty$. This divergence is also showed in Figure 1 with $m = 2$ and $m = 3$.

Table 1: Comparison of absolute errors between the regularized solutions v_m of $m = 10^2$ and $m = 10^{10}$.

(x,t,s)	Exact value	App. value 1 $(m = 10^2)$	App. value 2 $(m = 10^{10})$	Abs. error 1	Abs. error 2
$\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0.75, 0.75\right)$	0.1053992246	0.0915741799	0.1053992172	0.0138250446	7.4E-09
$\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0.5, 0.5\right)$	0.2231301601	0.1684339068	0.2231301293	0.0546962533	3.08E-08
$\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0.25, 0.25\right)$	0.4723665527	0.3098032761	0.4723664549	0.1625632766	9.87E-08
$\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0.125, 0.125\right)$	0.6872892788	0.4201595585	0.6872891127	0.2671297203	1.661E-07
$\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0, 0\right)$	1	0.5698263001	0.9999997239	0.4301736999	2.761E-07

Now we compute the regularized solution based on (12)-(13) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} v_m(x,t,s) &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-p}\right)^{\frac{t-1}{p}} e^{-3-s+t}\sin x + \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-pm^2}\right)^{\frac{t-1}{p}} \frac{\sin(mx)}{m} \\ &\quad +2\left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-p}\right)^{\frac{t-1}{p}} \int_t^1 e^{t-\eta}e^{-2(1+t-\eta)-(1+s-\eta)}\sin x d\eta \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-p}\right)^{\frac{t-1}{p}} \left(e^{-3-s+t}+e^{-3-t-s}\left(e^2-e^{2t}\right)\right)\sin x \\ &\quad +\left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-pm^2}\right)^{\frac{t-1}{p}} \frac{\sin(mx)}{m} \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-p}\right)^{\frac{t-1}{p}} e^{-1-t-s}\sin x + \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-pm^2}\right)^{\frac{t-1}{p}} \frac{\sin(mx)}{m} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for any $(t,s)\in D_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} v_m(x,t,s) &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-p}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} e^{-3-2t+2s}\sin x + \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-pm^2}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} \frac{\sin(mx)}{m}, \\ &\quad +2\left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-p}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} \int_s^1 e^{s-\eta}e^{-2(1+t-\eta)-(1+s-\eta)}\sin x d\eta \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-p}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} \left(e^{-3-2t+2s}+e^{-3-2t}\left(e^2-e^{2s}\right)\right)\sin x \\ &\quad +\left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-pm^2}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} \frac{\sin(mx)}{m} \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-p}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} e^{-1-2t}\sin x + \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{m}+e^{-pm^2}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} \frac{\sin(mx)}{m} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for any $(t,s)\in D_2$.

To obtain numerical results, we use a uniform grid of mesh-points $(x,t,s) = (x_j,t_k,s_m)$ where

$$x_j = j\Delta x, \quad \Delta x = \frac{\pi}{K},\ j=\overline{0,K},$$

$$t_k = k\Delta t,\ s_l = l\Delta s, \quad \Delta t = \Delta s = \frac{1}{M},\ k,l=\overline{0,M}.$$

We thus seek the discrete solutions $u_{ex}^{j,k,l} = u_{ex}(x_j,t_k,s_l)$ and $v_m^{j,k,l} = v_m(x_j,t_k,s_l)$ given by (18) and (19)-(20), respectively.

By fixing $K=100, M=80$ and $p=10$, the numerical results are shown in Table 1 and illustrated in Figs. 2-3 as below. Fig. 2 is the graphical representations for curved surfaces of the exact solution $(t,s)\mapsto u_{ex}\left(\frac{\pi}{2},t,s\right)\equiv e^{-2t-s}$, and of the

approximate solution $(t,s) \mapsto v_m\left(\frac{\pi}{2},t,s\right)$ determined in (19)-(20) with $m = 10^{10}$. In Fig. 3, we have drawn the exact solution $x \mapsto u_{ex}(x,0,0) \equiv \sin x$ and the approximate solution $x \mapsto v_m(x,0,0)$ where m are 5×10^9, 7×10^9 and 10^{10}, respectively, in order to see the convergence at $(t,s) = (0,0)$ as m becomes very large, namely, the bound ε in theoretical result tends to zero. As in Figs. 2-3, we can conclude that the regularized solution converges to the exact one as the error becomes smaller and smaller. Moreover, convergence is, particularly, observed from the absolute (abs.) errors in Table 1. Hence, our numerical results are all reasonable for the theoretical result.

5 Conclusion

In this work, a regularization method has been successfully applied to the inverse ultraparabolic problem. This method is to replace the instability terms appearing in the formula of the solution which is employed by semi-group theory. Therefore, such a way forms the so-called regularized solution which strongly converges to the exact solution in L^2-norm. We also obtain the error estimate which is of order $\varepsilon^{\frac{p-T}{p}}, p > T$. By a numerical example, application of the method is flexible and calculation of successive approximations is direct and straightforward. This work is more general than [9], a recent work of Zouyed et al., in both error estimate and the considered problem.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author's contributions
VAK, LTL organized and wrote this manuscript. VAK, LTL and TTH contributed to all the steps of the proofs in this research together. NHT participated in the discussion and corrected the main results. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to the anonymous referees and the handling editor for many constructive comments leading to the improved version of this paper.

Author details
[1]Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Ho Chi Minh City University of Science, 227 Nguyen Van Cu Street, District 5, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. [2]Saigon Institute for Computational Science and Technology, Quang Trung Software City, District 12, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

References

1. W. H. Press et al., Numerical recipes in Fortran 90, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, New York, 1996.
2. Luca Lorenzi, An ultraparabolic integrodifferential equation, Le Matematiche, Vol. LIII (1998)-Fasc. II, pp. 401-435.
3. Huy Tuan Nguyen, Quoc Viet Tran, Van Thinh Nguyen, Some remarks on a modified Helmholtz equation with inhomogeneous source, Applied Mathematical Modelling 37 (2013) 793-814.
4. G. Akrivis, M. Crouzeix, V. Thomée, Numerical methods for ultraparabolic equations, Calcolo, vol. 31, no. 3-4, pp. 179-190, 1994.
5. T. G. Genčev, Ultraparabolic equations, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 151 (1963), 265-268; English Transl.
6. N. H. Tuan, D. D. Trong, P. H. Quan, On a backward Cauchy problem associated with continuous spectrum operator, Nonlinear Analysis 73 (2010) 1966-1972.
7. A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Appl. Math. Sci. 44, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
8. A. Bensoussan, P. L. Chow and J. L. Lions, Filtering theory for stochastic process with two-dimensional time parameter, Math. Comput. Simulation 22 (1980), no. 3, 213-221.
9. F. Zouyed, F. Rebbani, A modified quasi-boundary value method for an ultraparabolic ill-posed problem, J. Inverse Ill-posed Probl., de Gruyter 2014.
10. G. A. Anastassiou, G. R. Goldestein and J. A. Goldstein, Uniqueness for evolution in multidimensional time, Nonlinear Anal. 64 (2006), 33-41.
11. M. D. Francesco, A. Pascucci, A continuous dependence result for ultraparabolic equations in option pricing, J. Math. Anl. Appl. 336 (2007) 1026-1041.
12. S. Chandrasekhar, Stochastic problems in physics and astronomy, Rev. Mod. Phys 15 (1943) 1-89. Reprinted in selected papers on noise and stochastic processes (Ed. N. Wax). New York: Dover, 195.

13. A. I. Kozhanov, On the solvability of boundary value problems for quasilinear ultraparabolic equations in some mathematical models of the dynamics of biological systems, Journal of Applied and Industrial Mathematics, 2010, Vol. 4, No. 4, pp. 512-525.
14. M. Denche, K. Bessila, A modified quasi-boundary value method for ill-posed problems, J. Math. Anna. Appl. 301(2005), 419-426.
15. G. W. Clark, S. F. Oppenheimer, Quasireversibility methods for non-well-posed problems, Electron. J. Differential Equations 1994(1994), Article 08.
16. A. Lunardi, Analytic semigroups and optimal regularity in parabolic problems, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1995.
17. G. E. Uhlenbeck, L. S. Ornstein, On the theory of the Brownian motion, Phys. Rev. 36 (1930) 823-841.
18. R. Lattès and J. L. Lions, The Method of Quasi-Reversibility. Applications to Partial Dierential Equations, Elsevier, New York, 1969.
19. S. A. Tersenov, Well-posedness of boundary value problems for a certain ultraparabolic equation, Siberian Mathematical Journal, Vol. 40, No. 6, 1999.
20. R. E. Showalter, Hilbert space methods for partial differential equatons, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Monograph 01, 1994.
21. A. Ashyralyev and S. Yilmaz, An approximation of Ultra-Parabolic equations, Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2012, Article ID 840621, 14 pages, 2012.
22. K.A. Ames, J.F. Epperson, A kernel-based method for the approximate solutions of backward parabolic problems, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 34 (1997) 1357-1390.
23. V. S. Dron' and S. D. Ivasyshen, Properties of the fundamental solutions and uniqueness theorems for the solutions of the Cauchy problem for one class of ultraparabolic equations, Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, Vol. 50, No. 11, 1998.
24. Michael D. Marcozzi, Extrapolation discontinuous Galerkin method for ultraparabolic equations, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 224 (2009) 679-687.
25. R. E. Showalter, The final value problem for evolution equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 47 (1974) 563-572.
26. N. Boussetila, F. Rebbani, A modified quasi-reversibility method for a class of ill-posed Cauchy problems, Georgian Mathematical Journal, Volume 14 (2007), Number 4, 627-642.
27. S. M. Kirkup, M. Wadsworth, Solution of inverse diffusion problems by operator-splitting methods, Appl. Math. Model. 26 (2002) 1003-1018.
28. V. A. Khoa, L. T. Lan, N. T. Y. Ngoc, N. H. Tuan, A numerical approach to approximation for a nonlinear ultraparabolic equation, arXiv.org:1408.1351 (2014).
29. D. D. Trong, P. H. Quan, T. V. Khanh, N. H. Tuan, A nonlinear case of the 1-D backward heat problem: Regularization and error estimate, Z. Anal. Anwend. 26 (2) (2007) 231-245.
30. D. D. Trong , N. T. Long, A. P. N. Dinh, Nonhomogeneous heat equation: Identification and regularization for the inhomogeneous term, J. Math. Anal. 312 (2005) 93-104.
31. P. Marcati, R. Serafini, Asymptotic behaviour in age dependent population dynamics with spatial spread. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (5) 16 (1979), no. 2, 734-753.
32. D.N. Hao, A mollification method for ill-posed problems, Numer. Math. 68 (1994) 469-506.
33. Anna A. Kwiecińska, Stabilization of partial differential equations by noise, Stochastic Processes and their Applications 79 (1999) 179-184.



(a) Exact



(b) Regularized ($m = 10^{10}$)

Figure 2: Plot of the exact and regularized solutions at the midpoint of $[0, \pi]$.



Figure 3: Plot of absolute errors at the endpoint of time $(t, s) = (0, 0)$.